


### REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated September 16, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 62-84 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,657,191 ("the '191 patent"). Regarding rejection of Claims 62-84, applicant submits herewith a properly executed Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this double-patenting rejection in view of the '191 patent. Further, applicant has canceled claims 85-93 without prejudice, and reserves the right to further prosecute such claims in a subsequent continuation or divisional application. In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention represents a patentable contribution to the art and the application is in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is accordingly requested.

Dated: February 16, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



---

David M. Hill  
Reg. No. 46,170  
WARD & OLIVO  
708 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 697-6262